**CENG 796 - Peer-review form**

**Reviewed project ID:** Group **\_\_\_**

**Reviewed project's title (title of the paper): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Reviewer name(s)**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Instructions:

* Answer = *Yes*, *No* or *Partial*.
* You may expand sections as necessary.
* For most questions, you do not need to add comments, unless the instructions tell you otherwise.
* "Notebook" refers to "Jupyter Notebook" file that is expected to be named as main.ipynb

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Answer** | **Comments** |
| Contains a jupyter notebook file |  |  |
| Notebook is located at <project\_root>/main.ipynb |  |  |
| Notebook's first section contains paper information (paper title, paper authors, and project group members' name & contact information)Some good examples: see group03, group10, group11 (and a couple of other groups). |  |  |
| Notebook contains a section for hyper-parameters of the model. |  |  |
| Notebook contains a section for training & saving the model. |  |  |
| Notebook contains a section (or a few sections) for loading a pre-trained model & computing qualitative samples/outputs. |  |  |
| Notebook contains reproduced plots and/or tables, as declared.  |  |  |
| Notebook contains pre-computed outputs. |  |  |
| Data is included and/or a proper download script is provided. |  |  |
| Notebook contains a section describing the difficulties encountered. |  | *Explain anything that looks ambiguous, hard-to-understand, etc. in this section.* |
| The paper has achieved its goals and/or explained what is missing. |  |  |
| The notebook contains a section that reproduces the figure(s) and table(s) declared in the goals.  |  |  |
| The notebook also reports the original values of the targeted quantitative results, for comparison. |  |  |
| MIT License is included. |  |  |
| As the reviewer(s), you have read the paper & understood it. |  |  |
| Implementation of the model seems correct.  |  | *\* If you have not been able to find any errors , give a list of things that you have been able to match between the paper and the code. (eg. "I have located Eq. 3, 5, 7 and they seem to be corrected implemented.")**\* Also denote any part that looks possibly problematic. You may use "additional comments" section below for your detailed comments.* |
| Notebook looks professional (in terms of notation, readability, etc.)  |  | *Please add your suggestions.* |
| Source code looks professional (in terms of coding style, comments, etc.) |  |  |

**Additional comments:**

|  |
| --- |
| *Please write any suggestions that can content-wise and/or aesthetically improve the notebook or the source code.**You may also add your lengthy comments (eg. mathematical problems that you have found in the implementation) here, and, refer to this text in your comments above.* |